Attorney Docket No. AER-P-2

Appl. Ser. No. 10/025,646

Supplemental Response mailed October 27, 2004

Reply to Final Office Action mailed July 28, 2004

Argument

As Applicants previously pointed out in their Response, the term "remote

computer" recited in claim 12 and claim 21 refers to a computer that is connected to the public

telephone switching network ("PTSN") via a local exchange that is different from the local

exchange of the telephone line on which the call restrictor is connected. Also, independent

claim 16 recites an interactive voice response (IVR) system "having an access number that is

accessible via a public telephone switching network". Claim 12, 16 and 21 further recite that the

call restrictor device/call restrictor and IVR/remote computer send signals/communicate via the

PSTN. In all three independent claims the IVR/remote computer remotely programs the call

restrictor device/call restrictor devices via signals sent over the PSTN. None of the references

cited by the Examiner teach or suggest this limitation. Further, the Examiner has not cited any

IVR system or general knowledge in the relevant art that teaches or suggests using an

IVR/remote computer to remotely program a call restriction device by sending programming

signals over the PSTN.

For the reasons set forth above and the reasons previously set forth in

Applicant's Response, reconsideration of the application and allowance of claims 1-10, 12-19,

21-23, and 25-27 are hereby requested by the Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

October 27, 2004

Date

Dennis M. Flaherty

Reg. No. 31,159

Ostrager Chong Flaherty and

Broitman P.C.

250 Park Avenue, Suite 825

New York, New York 10177-0899

Tel. No.: (212) 681-0600

CUSTOMER NO. 44702

- 2 -